

Amendments To The Drawings

Drawings are not approved because the recitation of "Non-public access door" added to Fig. 1 is considered in the Office Action as new matter in conjunction with the objections listed for Specification above. To resolve the objection, in conformance with the approach discussed in the telephonic interview of July 11, 2006, the Applicants have removed the wording "Non-public access door" and have deleted the box and arrow that were added on the previous replacement sheet for FIG 1. The attached sheet now replaces the original sheet 1.

Attachment: Replacement Sheet

REMARKS

The specification, claims and drawings have been amended without adding new matter in order to correct minor informalities and to address other issues raised by the Examiner.

Claims 1, 3, 6-7, 14-15, 18, and 19 have been amended.

Claim 3 has been canceled without regard to prejudice or disclaimer.

Nineteen claims remain pending in the application: Claims 1-2, and 4-20.

Reconsideration of the claims in view of the amendments above and the remarks below, is respectfully requested.

Initially, Applicant acknowledges with appreciation the Examiner's willingness to take part in the telephonic interview on July 11, 2006.

Applicant acknowledges with appreciation the Examiner stating that the rejections of Claims 1-6 have been withdrawn.

By way of this amendment, Applicant has made a diligent effort to place the claims in condition for allowance in accordance with the agreement reached with the Examiner on July 11, 2006. However, should there remain any outstanding issues that require adverse action, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner telephone the undersigned at (602) 262-5355 so that such issues may be resolved as expeditiously as possible.

Summary of Applicant Initiated Examiner Interview

1. In accordance with 37 CFR § 133(b), the following is a brief summary of the Examiner interview conducted 7/11/06 at 1:00 Eastern Daylight Time via telephone between Lucius L. Lockwood, Attorney of Record, and Examiner Krisanne Jastrzab:

Power of Attorney. The Examiner pointed out that the papers previously filed to update the Power of Attorney and correspondence address were not accepted by the USPTO, and the issue requires resolution. (Please see attached to this paper a printout of Public Pair dated July 14, 2006 showing that the USPTO has updated the attorney of record and correspondence address to Lewis and Roca LLP, and the executed Power of Attorney and Statement under 37 CFR § 3.73(b)).

Specification. Paragraphs [0019], [0024], and [0030] were discussed, and amendments have been made (see above) consistent with the agreement reached in the interview. The agreed-upon changes overcome the objections to the specification.

Drawings. The Applicant and Examiner agreed upon a modification as described above to overcome the objections to the drawings.

Claims. The Applicant proposed to amend claims in a manner consistent with the issues addressed in the Office Action regarding rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, and proposed to cancel Claim 3. Text of the proposed changes in each of these instances was provided to the Examiner for review during the Interview, and it was agreed that amendments in accordance with those discussed in the interview would place the claims in condition for allowance. (The changes discussed in the Interview are reflected in the amended claim listing provided for above.)

Specification

2. The specification is objected to with regard to the Amendment filed February 7, 2006. The Office Action mailed 4/14/2006 states that the Amendment introduced new matter into the disclosure which was not supported by the original disclosure particularly with paragraphs 0019 and 0024. It is also noted that some of the changes were not underlined as properly required.

Replacement paragraphs have been included above, providing changes as agreed to in the telephonic interview with the Examiner on 7/11/06. As the agreed-to changes address the items address in the Office Action, the objections to the specification have been overcome and the application is in condition for allowance.

Drawings

3. The Drawings are not approved because the Office Action states that the recitation of "Non-public access door" added to Fig. 1 is considered new matter in conjunction with the objections listed for Specification above.

A replacement sheet for Fig. 1 is included with this paper, and as agreed to in the telephonic interview of 7/11/06, the wording "Non-public access door", the box that contains the text and the attached arrow were deleted from the new replacement sheet for FIG 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §112

4. Claims 1-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

Consistent with the agreement reached in the telephonic interview of 7/11/06, Claims 1,

6-7, 14-15, 18, 19 are amended to address the rejections raised in the Office Action. Claim 3 is canceled.

Claims 1, 6-7, and 14-15 are amended for formality reasons to change the word "decontaminate" to "decontaminant." The Applicants thank the Examiner for pointing out the typographical error.

Revisions were made to claims 1, 14, and 19 to more particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter cited in accordance with the agreement reached in the telephonic interview. Support for these changes is provided for at least in the first three sentences of paragraph [0059] of the Specification.

Claims 14, 18, and 19 are amended to correct typographical antecedent issues.

With the agreed-to amendments shown above, and further to the agreement for allowability reached in the telephonic interview of 7/11/06, the objections have been overcome and the amended claims are in condition for allowance.

CONCLUSION

Applicants submit that the above amendments and remarks place the pending claims in a condition for allowance, as per the agreement reached in the July 11, 2006 interview. Therefore, a Notice of Allowance with any appropriate Examiner's Amendments is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Dated: July 14, 2006

Lucius L. Lockwood
Reg. No. 53,231
Attorney for Applicant(s)
(602) 262-5355

Address all correspondence to:
LEWIS AND ROCA LLP
Phoenix Office
40 North Central Avenue
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4429

Direct telephone inquiries to:
Lucius L. Lockwood
(602) 262-5355

Attachments:

1. Private PAIR printout dated 7/14/06 showing correct Attorney of Record and Correspondence Address
2. Power of Attorney and Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b)
3. Replacement drawing sheet for Sheet 1 (Figure 1).